**J. Funct. Anal., to appear**

arXiv:0909.2072v2 [math.CA] 2 Nov 2009

# A Characterization of Hajłasz-Sobolev and Triebel-Lizorkin Spaces via Grand Littlewood-Paley Functions

Pekka Koskela, Dachun Yang and Yuan Zhou

**Abstract** In this paper, we establish the equivalence between the Hajłasz-Sobolev spaces or classical Triebel-Lizorkin spaces and a class of grand Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on Euclidean spaces and also on metric spaces that are both doubling and reverse doubling. In particular, when $p \in (n/(n+1), \infty)$, we give a new characterization of the Hajłasz-Sobolev spaces $\dot{M}^{1,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via a grand Littlewood-Paley function.

## 1 Introduction

Recently, analogs of the theory of first order Sobolev spaces on doubling metric spaces have been established both based on upper gradients [23, 9, 32] and on pointwise inequalities [18]. For surveys on this see [19, 24]. These different approaches result in the same function class if the underlying space supports a suitable Poincaré inequality [25]. In this paper we further investigate the spaces introduced by Hajłasz [18] (also see [39]) that are defined via pointwise inequalities.

**Definition 1.1.** *Let $(\mathcal{X}, d)$ be a metric space equipped with a regular Borel measure $\mu$ such that all balls defined by $d$ have finite and positive measures. Let $p \in (0, \infty)$ and $s \in (0, 1]$. The homogeneous fractional Hajłasz-Sobolev space $\dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathcal{X})$ is the set of all measurable functions $f \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathcal{X})$ for which there exists a non-negative function $g \in L^p(\mathcal{X})$ and a set $E \subset \mathcal{X}$ of measure zero such that*

$$|f(x) - f(y)| \le [d(x, y)]^s [g(x) + g(y)] \tag{1.1}$$

*for all $x,\ y \in \mathcal{X} \setminus E$. Denote by $\mathcal{D}(f)$ the class of all nonnegative Borel functions $g$ satisfying (1.1). Moreover, define $\|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathcal{X})} \equiv \inf_{g \in \mathcal{D}(f)}\{\|g\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X})}\}$, where the infimum is taken over all functions $g$ as above.*

In the Euclidean setting, $\dot{M}^{1,p}$ coincides with the usual homogeneous first order Sobolev space $\dot{W}^{1,p}$, [18], provided $1 < p < \infty$. For $p \in (n/(n+1), 1]$, it was very recently proved [30] that this pointwise definition yields the corresponding Hardy-Sobolev space.

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B35; Secondary 42B30, 46E35, 42B25.

*Key words and phrases.* Sobolev spaces, Triebel-Lizorkin space, Calderón reproducing formula.

Dachun Yang was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 10871025) of China. Pekka Koskela and Yuan Zhou were supported by the Academy of Finland grant 120972

Consequently, $\dot M^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot F^1_{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, for $n/(n+1) < p < \infty$, where $\dot F^1_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ refers to a homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin space (see Theorem 5.2.3/1 in [34] and [30]). In the fractional order, $s \in (0,1)$, case it was shown in [39] that $\dot M^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot F^s_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, provided $1 < p < \infty$. Notice the jump in the index $q$ when $s$ crosses 1 and that the result in the fractional order case does not allow for values of $p$ below 1.

We will next introduce a class of grand Triebel-Lizorkin spaces that allow us to characterize conveniently the fractional Hajłasz-Sobolev spaces for $n/(n+s) < p < \infty$. The definition is based on grand Littlewood-Paley functions and we later extend it to the metric space setting, establishing an analogous characterization.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_+ \equiv \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be the Schwartz space, namely, the space of rapidly decreasing functions endowed with a family of seminorms $\{\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\}_{k,\,m\in\mathbb{Z}_+}$, where for any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m \in (0,\,\infty)$, we set

$$\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{k,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \sup_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}^n_+,\ |\alpha|\le k}\ \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^n} (1+|x|)^m |\partial^\alpha \varphi(x)|.$$

Here we recall that for any $\alpha \equiv (\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$, $|\alpha| = \alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_n$ and $\partial^\alpha \equiv (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1})^{\alpha_1} \cdots (\frac{\partial}{\partial x_n})^{\alpha_n}$. It is known that $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ forms a locally convex topology vector space. Denote by $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the dual space of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ endowed with the weak$^*$-topology. Moreover, for each $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, denote by $\mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)$ the space of all functions $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} x^\alpha f(x)\,dx = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ with $|\alpha| \le N$. For the convenience, we also write $\mathcal{S}_{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n) \equiv \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, set $\varphi_t(x) \equiv t^{-n}\varphi(t^{-1}x)$.

For each $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{-1\}$, $m \in (0,\,\infty)$ and $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, our class of test functions is

$$\mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m} \equiv \{\phi \in \mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R}^n) :\ \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le 1\}. \tag{1.2}$$

**Definition 1.2.** *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in (0,\,\infty)$ and $q \in (0,\,\infty]$. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a class of test functions as in* (1.2). *The homogeneous grand Triebel-Lizorkin space $\mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that when $q \in (0,\,\infty)$,*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \left\| \left( \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{ksq} \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}} |\phi_{2^{-k}} * f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty,$$

*and when $q = \infty$,*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \left\| \sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{ks} \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}} |\phi_{2^{-k}} * f| \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty.$$

For $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}$, we also write $\mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $\mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, if $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0$, then it is easy to see that $f \in \mathcal{P}_N$, where $\mathcal{P}_N$ is the space of polynomials with degree no more than $N$. So the quotient space $\mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{P}_N$ is a quasi-Banach space. As usual, an element $[f] = f + \mathcal{P}_N \in \mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{P}_N$ with $f \in \mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, is simply referred to by $f$. By abuse of the notation, we always write the space $\mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{P}_N$ as $\mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

The grand Triebel-Lizorkin spaces are closely connected with Hajłasz-Sobolev spaces and (consequently) with the classical Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let $s \in (0, 1]$ and $p \in (n/(n+s), \infty)$. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,m}$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m \in (n+1, \infty)$, then $\dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent norms.*

To prove Theorem 1.1, for any $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we introduce a special $g \in \mathcal{D}(f)$ via a variant of the grand maximal function; see (2.1) below. When $s = 1$, comparing this with the proof of Theorem 1 of [30], we see that the gradient on $f$ appearing there is transferred to the vanishing moments of the test functions and the size conditions of the test functions and their first-order derivatives (see $\mathcal{A}$) here. We point out that the choice of the set $\mathcal{A}$ is very subtle. This is the key point which allows us to extend Theorem 1.1 to certain metric measure spaces. Moreover, to prove Theorem 1.1, an imbedding theorem established by Hajłasz [19] is also employed.

Theorem 1.1 also has a higher-order version.

**Definition 1.3.** *Let $p \in (0,\infty)$ and $s \in (k, k+1]$ with $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The homogeneous Hajłasz-Sobolev space $\dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined to be the set of all measurable functions $f \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ with $|\alpha| = k$, $\partial^\alpha f \in \dot{M}^{s-k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and normed by $\|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\dot{M}^{s-k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.*

**Corollary 1.1.** *Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $s \in (N, N+1]$ and $p \in (n/(n+N-s), \infty)$. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{N,m}$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m \in (n+N+2, \infty)$ when $s = N+1$ or $m \in (n+N+1, \infty)$ when $s \in (N, N+1)$, then $\dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent norms.*

The essential point in the proof of Corollary 1.1 is to establish a lifting property for $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ via Theorem 1.1. This is done with the aid of auxiliary lemmas (see Lemmas 2.4 and 2.5 below), where in Lemma 2.4, we decompose a test function in $\mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into a sum of test functions in $\mathcal{S}_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with subtle controls on their semi-norms for all $-1 \le k \le N-1$. The decomposition of a test function in $\mathcal{S}_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ into functions in $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ already plays a key role in [30]. The proof of Corollary 1.1 also uses Theorem 1.2 below.

Now we recall the definition of Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on $\mathbb{R}^n$.

**Definition 1.4.** *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in (0, \infty)$ and $q \in (0, \infty]$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy that*

$$\operatorname{supp}\widehat{\varphi} \subset \{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n : 1/2 \le |\xi| \le 2\} \text{ and } |\widehat{\varphi}(\xi)| \ge \text{constant} > 0 \text{ if } 3/5 \le |\xi| \le 5/3. \tag{1.3}$$

*The homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin space $\dot{F}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \left\| \left( \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{ksq} |\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$$

*with the usual modification made when $q = \infty$.*

Notice that if $\|f\|_{\dot{F}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0$, then it is easy to see that $f \in \mathcal{P} \equiv \cup_{N\in\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{P}_N$. So similarly to above, we write an element $[f] = f + \mathcal{P}$ in the quotient space $\dot{F}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{P}$ with $f \in \dot{F}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $f$, and also the space $\dot{F}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathcal{P}$ as $\dot{F}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let* $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in (0, \infty)$, $q \in (0, \infty]$ *and* $J \equiv n/\min\{1, p, q\}$. *If* $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{N,m}$ *with* $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$N + 1 > \max\{s, J - n - s\} \text{ and } m > \max\{J, n + N + 1\}, \tag{1.4}$$

*then* $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{F}^s_{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *with equivalent norms.*

To prove Theorem 1.2, we use the Calderón reproducing formula in [31, 12] and the boundedness of almost diagonal operators on the sequence spaces corresponding to the Triebel-Lizorkin spaces. The almost diagonal operators were introduced by Frazier and Jawerth [13] and proved to be a very powerful tool therein (see also [8]). It is perhaps worthwhile to point out that the proof of Theorem 1.1 does not rely on Theorem 1.2.

Recall that $\dot{M}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{F}^1_{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $p \in (n/(n+1), \infty)$ by [30], $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^0_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^0_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $p \in (n/(n+1), 1]$, where $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{-1,m}$ with $\ell \geq 1$ and $m \in (n+1, \infty)$, and $H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the classical real Hardy space (see [33, 16]). Combining these facts with Theorem 1.1, we have the following result.

**Corollary 1.2.** *(i) If* $p \in (n/(n+1), \infty)$ *and* $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,m}$ *with* $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *and* $m \in (n+1, \infty)$, *then* $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^1_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{M}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{F}^1_{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *with equivalent norms.*

*(ii) If* $s \in (0, 1)$, $p \in (n/(n+s), \infty)$ *and* $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,m}$ *with* $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ *and* $m \in (n+1, \infty)$, *then* $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *with equivalent norms.*

*(iii) Let* $\mathcal{A} \equiv \mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{-1,m}$ *with* $\ell \geq 1$ *and* $m \in (n+1, \infty)$. *If* $p \in (n/(n+1), 1]$, *then* $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^0_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{F}^0_{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *with equivalent norms; if* $p \in (1, \infty)$, *then* $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^0_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{F}^0_{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *with equivalent norms.*

Moreover, for $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $s \in (N, N+1]$ and $p \in (n/(n+N-s), \infty)$, by Corollary 1.1, Theorem 1.2 and the lifting property of homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, we have that $\dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent norms when $s \in (N, N+1)$, and $\dot{M}^{N+1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{F}^{N+1}_{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent norms.

**Remark 1.1.** *(i) In a sense, Corollary 1.2 (i) gives a grand maximal characterizations of Hardy-Sobolev spaces* $\dot{H}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{F}^1_{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *with* $p \in (n/(n+1), 1]$, *where* $\dot{H}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *is defined as the space of all* $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *such that* $\nabla f \in H^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. *We point out the advantage of this grand maximal characterization is that it only depends on the first-order derivatives of test functions, which can be replaced by Lipschitz regularity (see Definition 1.5). In fact, our approach transfers the derivatives on* $f$ *to vanishing moments, size conditions and Lipschitz regularity of test functions. This is a key observation, which allows us to extend this characterization to certain metric measure spaces without any differential structure; see Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 below.*

*(ii) We point out that Auchser, Russ and Tchamitchian [3] characterized the Hardy-Sobolev space* $\dot{F}^1_{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *via a maximal function which is obtained by transferring the gradient on* $f$ *to a size condition on the divergence of the vectors formed by certain test functions; see Theorem 6 of [3]. However, this characterization still depends on the derivatives.*

*(iii) We also point out that Cho [11] characterized Hardy-Sobolev spaces* $\dot{H}^{k,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{F}^k_{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *with* $k \in \mathbb{N}$ *via a nontangential maximal function by transferring the derivatives on the distribution to a fixed specially chosen Schwartz function; see Theorem I of [11].*

*(iv) We finally remark that a continuous version of the grand Littlewood-Paley function* $(\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}\sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}}|\phi_{2^{-k}}*f|^2)^{1/2}$ *with a different choice of* $\mathcal{A}$ *was used by Wilson [38] to solve a conjecture of R. Fefferman and E. M. Stein on the weighted boundedness of the classical Littlewood-Paley* $S$*-function.*

Finally, we discuss the metric space setting. Let $(\mathcal{X},\, d,\, \mu)$ be a metric measure space. For any $x\in\mathcal{X}$ and $r>0$, let $B(x,r)\equiv\{y\in\mathcal{X}:\, d(x,y)<r\}$. Recall that $(\mathcal{X},d,\mu)$ is called an RD-space if there exist constants $0<C_1\le 1\le C_2$ and $0<\kappa\le n$ such that for all $x\in\mathcal{X}$, $0<r<2\operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{X})$ and $1\le\lambda<2\operatorname{diam}(\mathcal{X})/r$,

$$C_1\lambda^{\kappa}\mu(B(x,r))\le\mu(B(x,\lambda r))\le C_2\lambda^{n}\mu(B(x,r)),\tag{1.5}$$

where and in what follows, $\operatorname{diam}\mathcal{X}\equiv\sup_{x,\,y\in\mathcal{X}}d(x,y)$; see [21].

We point out that (1.5) implies the doubling property, there exists a constant $C_0\in[1,\,\infty)$ such that for all $x\in\mathcal{X}$ and $r>0$, $\mu(B(x,2r))\le C_0\mu(B(x,r))$, and the reverse doubling property: there exists a constant $a\in(1,\,\infty)$ such that for all $x\in\mathcal{X}$ and $0<r<\operatorname{diam}\mathcal{X}/a$, $\mu(B(x,\,ar))\ge 2\mu(B(x,\,r))$. For more equivalent characterizations of RD-spaces and the fact that each connected doubling space is an RD-space, see [40].

In what follows, we always assume that $(\mathcal{X},\, d,\, \mu)$ is an RD-space. We also assume that $\mu(\mathcal{X})=\infty$ in this section and in Section 4. In the remaining part of this section, let $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}(1,\,2)$, $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}(x,\,2^{-k},\,1,\,2)$, $(\mathcal{G}(1,\,2))'$ and $(\mathring{\mathcal{G}}_0^{\epsilon}(\beta,\,\gamma))'$ be as in Section 4.

**Definition 1.5.** *Let* $s\in(0,\,1]$*,* $p\in(0,\,\infty)$ *and* $q\in(0,\,\infty]$*. Let* $\mathcal{A}:=\{\mathcal{A}_k(x)\}_{x\in\mathcal{X},\,k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *and* $\mathcal{A}_k(x)=\{\phi\in\mathring{\mathcal{G}}(1,\,2),\,\|\phi\|_{\mathring{\mathcal{G}}(x,\,2^{-k},\,1,\,2)}\le 1\}$ *for all* $x\in\mathcal{X}$*. The homogeneous grand Triebel-Lizorkin space* $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$ *is defined to be the set of all* $f\in(\mathcal{G}(1,\,2))'$ *that satisfy*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})}\equiv\left\|\left\{\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}2^{ksq}\sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}_k(\cdot)}|\langle f,\,\phi\rangle|^q\right\}^{1/q}\right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X})}<\infty$$

*with the usual modification made when* $q=\infty$*.*

Here we also point out that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})}=0$ implies that $f=$ constant. Similarly to the above, we write the element $[f]=f+\mathbb{C}$ in the quotient space $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})/\mathbb{C}$ with $f\in\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$ as $f$, and also the space $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})/\mathbb{C}$ as $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$.

We have the following analog of Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let* $s\in(0,1]$ *and* $p\in(n/(n+s),\infty)$*. Then* $\dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathcal{X})=\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathcal{X})$ *with equivalent norms.*

The proof of Theorem 1.3 uses essentially the same ideas as those used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. For further characterizations of $\dot{M}^{1,\,1}(\mathcal{X})$ when $\mathcal{X}$ is a doubling Riemannian manifold see [6].

We recall the definition of homogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces $\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$ in [21].

**Definition 1.6.** *Let* $\epsilon \in (0,1)$, $s \in (0,\, \epsilon)$, $p \in (n/(n+\epsilon),\, \infty)$ *and* $q \in (n/(n+\epsilon),\, \infty]$. *Let* $\beta,\ \gamma \in (0,\, \epsilon)$ *satisfy*

$$\beta \in (s,\, \epsilon) \text{ and } \gamma \in (\max\{s - \kappa/p,\ n(1/p-1)_+\},\, \epsilon). \tag{1.6}$$

*Let* $\{S_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ *be an approximation of the identity of order* $\epsilon$ *with bounded support as in Definition 4.2. For* $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, *set* $D_k \equiv S_k - S_{k-1}$. *The homogeneous grand Triebel-Lizorkin space* $\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$ *is defined to be the set of all* $f \in (\mathring{\mathcal{G}}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\gamma))'$ *that satisfy*

$$\|f\|_{\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})} \equiv \left\| \left\{ \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{ksq} |D_k(f)|^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X})} < \infty$$

*with the usual modification made when* $q = \infty$.

As shown in [40], the definition of $\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$ is independent of the choices of $\epsilon$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ and the approximation of the identity as in Definition 4.2.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let all the assumptions be as in Definition 1.6. Then* $\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$ *with equivalent norms.*

To prove Theorem 1.4, we employ the discrete Calderón reproducing formula established in [21], which was already proved to be very useful therein.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and Corollary 1.1. In Section 3, we generalize these results to the inhomogeneous case; see Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 and Corollary 3.2 below. In Section 4, we present the proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4. Finally, in Section 5, we generalize Theorems 1.3 and 1.4 to the inhomogeneous case; see Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 below.

We point out that Theorems 1.3, 1.4, 5.1 and 5.2 apply in a wide range of settings, for instance, to Ahlfors $n$-regular metric measure spaces (see [22]), $d$-spaces (see [35]), Lie groups of the polynomial volume growth (see [36, 37, 29, 2]), the complete connected noncompact manifolds with a doubling measure (see [4, 5]), compact Carnot-Carathéodory (also called sub-Riemannian) manifolds (see [29, 26, 27]) and to boundaries of certain unbounded model domains of polynomial type in $\mathbb{C}^N$ appearing in the work of Nagel and Stein (see [28, 29, 26, 27]).

Finally, we state some conventions. Throughout the paper, we denote by $C$ a positive constant which is independent of the main parameters, but which may vary from line to line. Constants with subscripts, such as $C_0$, do not change in different occurrences. The symbol $A \lesssim B$ or $B \gtrsim A$ means that $A \le CB$. If $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$, we then write $A \sim B$. For any $a,\, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote $\min\{a,\, b\}$, $\max\{a,\, b\}$, and $\max\{a,\, 0\}$ by $a \wedge b$, $a \vee b$ and $a_+$, respectively. If $E$ is a subset of a metric space $(\mathcal{X}, d)$, we denote by $\chi_E$ the characteristic function of $E$. For any locally integrable function $f$, we denote by $\fint_E f\, d\mu$ (or $m_E(f)$) the average of $f$ on $E$, namely, $\fint_E f\, d\mu \equiv \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E f\, d\mu$.

## 2 Proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and Corollary 1.1

To prove Theorem 1.1, we need a Sobolev embedding theorem, which for $s = 1$ is due to Hajłasz [19, Theorem 8.7], and for $s \in (0, 1)$ can be proved by a slight modification of the proof of [19, Theorem 8.7]. We omit the details.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let $s \in (0, 1]$, $p \in (0, n/s)$ and $p_* = np/(n - sp)$. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for all $u \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(B(x, 2r))$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}(u)$,*

$$\left(\inf_{c\in\mathbb{R}} \fint_{B(x,r)} |u(y) - c|^{p_*}\, dy\right)^{1/p_*} \le Cr^s \left(\fint_{B(x,2r)} [g(y)]^p\, dy\right)^{1/p}.$$

The following result follows from Lemma 2.1. We omit the details.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let $s \in (0, 1]$, $p \in [n/(n + s), n/s)$ and $p_* = np/(n - sp)$. Then for each $u \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a constant $C$ such that $u - C \in L^{p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|u - C\|_{L^{p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \widetilde{C}\|u\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, where $\widetilde{C}$ is a positive constant independent of $u$.*

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m \in (n + 1, \infty)$. We first prove that if $f \in \mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

To see this, we first assume that $f$ is a locally integrable function. Fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x)\, dx = 1$. Notice that $\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(x) \to f(x)$ as $k \to \infty$ for almost all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for almost all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, taking $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2^{-k_0-1} < |x-y| \le 2^{-k_0}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|f(x) - f(y)| &\le |\varphi_{2^{-k_0}} * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-k_0}} * f(y)|\\ &\quad + \sum_{k\ge k_0} (|\varphi_{2^{-k-1}} * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(x)| + |\varphi_{2^{-k-1}} * f(y) - \varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(y)|).\end{aligned}$$

Write $\varphi_{2^{-k_0}} * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-k_0}} * f(y) = (\phi^{(x,\,y)})_{2^{-k_0}} * f(x)$ with $\phi^{(x,\,y)}(z) \equiv \varphi(z - 2^{k_0}[x-y]) - \varphi(z)$ and $\varphi_{2^{-k-1}} * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(x) = (\varphi_{2^{-1}} - \varphi)_{2^{-k}} * f(x)$. Notice that $\varphi_{2^{-1}} - \varphi$ and $\phi^{(x,\,y)}$ are fixed constant multiples of elements of $\mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}$. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, set

$$g(x) \equiv \sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{ks} \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}} |\phi_{2^{-k}} * f(x)|. \tag{2.1}$$

Since $f \in \mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $s \in (0, 1]$, we then have $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}|f(x) - f(y)| &\lesssim |\varphi_{2^{-k_0}} * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-k_0}} * f(y)|\\ &\quad + \sum_{k\ge k_0} \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}} (|\phi_{2^{-k}} * f(x)| + |\phi_{2^{-k}} * f(y)|)\\ &\lesssim \sum_{k\ge k_0} 2^{-ks}[g(x) + g(y)] \lesssim |x - y|^s[g(x) + g(y)].\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $f \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Generally, if $f \in \mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is only known to be an element in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ at first, then show that we may identify $f$ with a locally integrable function $\widetilde{f}$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Indeed, let $\varphi$ be as above. Notice that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$(2.2)\quad |\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-(k+i)}} * f(x)| \le \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} |\varphi_{2^{-k-j}} * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-k-j-1}} * f(x)| \lesssim 2^{-ks} g(x).$$

If $p \in (1, \infty)$, then $\{\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f - \varphi_{2^{-(k+i)}} * f\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which together with the completeness of $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ implies that there exists an $f_k \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f - \varphi_{2^{-(k+i)}} * f \to f_k$ in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and thus almost everywhere as $i \to \infty$. Observe that for any $k,\, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_k &= \lim_{i\to\infty} [\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f - \varphi_{2^{-k-i}} * f] \\ &= [\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f - \varphi_{2^{-k'}} * f] + \lim_{i\to\infty} [\varphi_{2^{-k'}} * f - \varphi_{2^{-k-i}} * f] \\ &= [\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f - \varphi_{2^{-k'}} * f] + f_{k'} \end{aligned}$$

in $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and almost everywhere. Set $\widetilde{f} \equiv \varphi * f - f_0$. Then $\widetilde{f} \in L^1_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\widetilde{f} = \varphi_{2^{-k}} * f - f_k$ almost everywhere. Since $\{\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ is a sequence of continuous functions that converges to $f$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \to \infty$ (see, for example, Lemma 3.8 of [7]), then for any $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \widetilde{f}(x)\psi(x)\,dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi * f(x)\psi(x)\,dx - \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} [\varphi * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-i}} * f(x)]\psi(x)\,dx \\ &= \lim_{i\to\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi_{2^{-i}} * f(x)\psi(x)\,dx = \langle f,\, \psi\rangle, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f$ coincides with $\widetilde{f}$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now we identify $f$ with the locally integrable function $\widetilde{f}$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Therefore, by the above proof, $\widetilde{f} \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|\widetilde{f}\|_{\dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|\widetilde{f}\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. In this sense, we have that $f \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Now assume that $p \in (n/(n+s), 1]$. For any $x,\, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let $k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $2^{-k_0-1} < |x-y| \le 2^{-k_0}$. If $k > k_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(y)| &\lesssim |\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-k_0}} * f(x)| + |\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(y) - \varphi_{2^{-k_0}} * f(y)| \\ &\quad + |\varphi_{2^{-k_0}} * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-k_0}} * f(y)| \\ &\lesssim |x-y|^s [g(x) + g(y)], \end{aligned}$$

where $g$ is as in (2.1). If $k \le k_0$, then $2^k|x-y| \le 1$ and

$$|\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(y)| = 2^k|x-y||(\phi^{x,y})_{2^{-k}} * f(x)| \lesssim |x-y|^s[g(x)+g(y)],$$

where $g$ is as in (2.1) and for all $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\phi^{x,y}(z) = 2^{-k}|x-y|^{-1}[\varphi(z) - \varphi(z - 2^k(x-y))].$$

Thus, $\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f \in \dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ uniformly in $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. By Lemma 2.2, for each $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a constant $C_k$ such that $\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f - C_k \in L^{p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with uniform bounded norms. By the weak compactness property of $L^{p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exists a subsequence which we still denote by the full sequence such that $\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f - C_k$ converges weakly in $L^{p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and thus almost everywhere to a certain function $\widetilde{f} \in L^{p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, for all $k,\, k' \in \mathbb{Z}$, since $\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f - \varphi_{2^{-k'}} * f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see (2.2)) and $\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f - \varphi_{2^{-k'}} * f + (C'_k - C_k) \in L^{p_*}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that $C'_k = C_k$. This, together with the fact that $\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f \to f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ as $k \to \infty$, implies that $f$ coincides with $\widetilde{f} + C_0$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and hence with $\widetilde{f}$ in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)/\mathbb{C}$. Now, we identify $f$ with the locally integrable function $\widetilde{f}$. As in the case $p \in (1,\,\infty)$, in this case, we also have that $f \in \dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Now we show that if $f \in \dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f \in \mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Let $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $g \in \mathcal{D}(f)$. Then for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $i \geq 0$, by Lemma 2.1, we have that $f \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\fint_{B(x,\,2^{-k+i})} \left| f(y) - \fint_{B(x,\,2^{-k})} f(z)\,dz \right| dy\\
&\quad\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{i} \fint_{B(x,\,2^{-k+j})} \left| f(y) - \fint_{B(x,\,2^{-k+j})} f(z)\,dz \right| dy\\
&\quad\lesssim \sum_{j=0}^{i} 2^{-ks+js} \left( \fint_{B(x,\,2^{-k+j})} [g(y)]^{n/(n+s)}\,dy \right)^{(n+s)/n}\\
&\quad\lesssim 2^{-ks} 2^{is} \left[ M\left( g^{n/(n+s)} \right)(x) \right]^{(n+s)/n}.
\end{aligned}$$

From this, $m > n+1 \geq n+s$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x)\,dx = 0$, it follows that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathcal{X}$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\phi_{2^{-k}} * f(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathcal{X}} \phi_{2^{-k}}(x-y) \left[ f(y) - \fint_{B(x,\,2^{-k})} f(z)\,dz \right] dy \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} 2^{-(m-n)i} \fint_{B(x,\,2^{-k+i})} \left| f(y) - \fint_{B(x,2^{-k})} f(z)\,dz \right| dy\\
&\lesssim 2^{-ks} \left[ M\left( g^{n/(n+s)} \right)(x) \right]^{(n+s)/n},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

which together with the $L^{p(n+s)/n}$-boundedness of $M$ implies that if $p \in (n/(n+s), \infty)$, then

$$\left\| \sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} 2^{ks} |\phi_{2^{-k}} * (f)| \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X})} \lesssim \left\| \left[ M\left( g^{n/(n+s)} \right) \right]^{(n+s)/n} \right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X})} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X})}.$$

Moreover, without loss of generality, we may assume that $M(g^{n/(n+s)})(0) < \infty$. Then for any $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, by an argument similar to that of (2.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x)\psi(x)\,dx\right| &\le \|\psi\|_{L^1(\mathcal{X})} \fint_{B(0,\,1)} |f(z)|\,dz + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left| f(x) - \fint_{B(0,\,1)} f(z)\,dz \right| |\psi(x)|\,dx \\ &\lesssim \|\psi\|_{L^1(\mathcal{X})} \fint_{B(0,\,1)} |f(z)|\,dz + \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{0,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} [M(g^{n/(n+s)})(0)]^{(n+s)/n} \\ &\lesssim C(f)\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{0,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus, $f \in \mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. □

To prove Theorem 1.2, we need the following estimate.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{-1\}$ and $m \in (n+N+1,\,\infty)$. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i,\,j \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $i \ge j$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$|\phi_{2^{-i}} * \psi_{2^{-j}}(x)| \le C\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+1,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{0,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} 2^{-(i-j)(N+1)} 2^{jn} (1+2^j|x|)^{-m}.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|\phi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+1,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+1,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 1$. For simplicty, we only consider the case $N \ge 0$. If $j = 0$, then by $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and the Taylor formula, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\phi_{2^{-i}} * \psi(x)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_{2^{-i}}(y) \left\{ \psi(x-y) - \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} \frac{1}{\alpha!} y^\alpha \partial^\alpha \psi(x) \right\} dy \right| \\ &\lesssim \int_{|y| \le (1+|x|)/2} |\phi_{2^{-i}}(y)| \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} |y|^{N+1} |\partial^\alpha \psi(x-\theta y)|\,dy \\ &\quad + \int_{|y|>(1+|x|)/2} |\phi_{2^{-i}}(y)\psi(x-y)|\,dy \\ &\quad + \int_{|y|>(1+|x|)/2} |\phi_{2^{-i}}(y)| \sum_{|\alpha| \le N} |y|^{|\alpha|} |\partial^\alpha \psi(x)|\,dy \equiv I_1 + I_2 + I_3,\end{aligned}$$

where $\theta \in [0,\,1]$. If $|y| \le (1+|x|)/2$, then for any $\theta \in [0,\,1]$, we have that $1+|x| \le 1+|x-\theta y|+|y|$ and hence, $1+|x| \le 2(1+|x-\theta y|)$. By this and $m \in (n+N+1,\,\infty)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &\lesssim \int_{|y| \le (1+|x|)/2} \frac{2^{in}|y|^{N+1}}{(1+2^i|y|)^m} \frac{1}{(1+|x-\theta y|)^m}\,dy \\ &\lesssim 2^{-i(N+1)} \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{2^{in}|2^i y|^{N+1}}{(1+2^i|y|)^m}\,dy \lesssim 2^{-i(N+1)}(1+|x|)^{-m}.\end{aligned}$$

For $I_2$ and $I_3$, we also have

$$I_2 \lesssim \int_{|y|>(1+|x|)/2} \frac{2^{in}}{(1+2^i|y|)^m} \frac{1}{(1+|x-y|)^m}\,dy \lesssim 2^{-i(N+1)}(1+|x|)^{-m}$$

and

$$I_3 \lesssim \int_{|y|>(1+|x|)/2} \frac{2^{in}}{(1+2^i|y|)^m}|y|^N \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^m}\,dy \lesssim 2^{-i(N+1)}(1+|x|)^{-m}.$$

For $j \neq 0$, we obtain

$$|\phi_{2^{-i}} * \psi(x)| = 2^{jn}|\phi_{2^{-(i-j)}} * \psi(2^j x)| \lesssim 2^{-|i-j|(N+1)}2^{jn}(1+2^j|x|)^{-m},$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 2.3. □

Now we turn to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $N$ and $m$ satisfying (1.4). Obviously, $\mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuously imbedded into $\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We now prove that if $f \in \dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f \in \mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. This proof is similar to the proof that the definition of $\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is independent of the choice of $\varphi$ satisfying (1.3), but a bit more complicated. In fact, we need to use the boundedness of almost diagonal operators in sequences spaces. For reader's convenience, we sketch the argument.

Recall that there exists a function $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying the same conditions as $\varphi$ such that $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi}(2^{-k}\xi)\widehat{\psi}(2^{-k}\xi) = 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$; see [14, Lemma (6.9)]. Then the Calderón reproducing formula says that for all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$, there exist polynomials $P_f$ and $\{P_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ depending on $f$ such that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$f(x) + P_f(x) = \lim_{i\to-\infty} \left\{ \sum_{j=i}^{\infty} \varphi_{2^{-j}} * \psi_{2^{-j}} * f(x) + P_i(x) \right\}, \tag{2.4}$$

where the series converges in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$; see, for example, [31, 12]. When $f \in \dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, it is known that the degrees of the polynomials $\{P_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ here are no more than $\lfloor s - n/p \rfloor$; see [13, pp. 153-155], and also [31, p. 53] and [34, pp. 17-18]. Recall that $\lfloor \alpha \rfloor$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ denotes the maximal integer no more than $\alpha$. Moreover, as shown in [13, pp. 153-155], $f + P_f$ is the canonical representative of $f$ in the sense that if $\varphi^{(i)}$, $\psi^{(i)}$ satisfy (1.3) and $\sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \widehat{\varphi^{(i)}}(2^{-k}\xi)\widehat{\psi^{(i)}}(2^{-k}\xi) = 1$ for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}$ for $i = 1, 2$, then $P^{(1)}_f - P^{(2)}_f$ is a polynomial of degree no more than $\lfloor s - n/p \rfloor$, where $P^{(i)}_f$ is as in (2.4) corresponding to $\varphi^{(i)}$, $\psi^{(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2$. So in this sense, we identify $f$ with $\widetilde{f} \equiv f + P_f$.

Let $\widetilde{\varphi}(x) = \overline{\varphi(-x)}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote by $\mathcal{Q}$ the collection of the dyadic cubes on $\mathbb{R}^n$. For any dyadic cube $Q \equiv 2^{-j}k + 2^{-j}[0,\,1]^n \in \mathcal{Q}$ with certain $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, we set $x_Q \equiv 2^{-j}k$, denote by $\ell(Q) \equiv 2^{-j}$ the side length of $Q$ and write $\varphi_Q(x) \equiv 2^{jn/2}\varphi(2^j x - k) = 2^{-jn/2}\varphi_{2^{-j}}(x - x_Q)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It is known that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\varphi_{2^{-j}} * \psi_{2^{-j}} * f(x) = \sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-j}} \langle f,\, \widetilde{\varphi}_Q \rangle \psi_Q(x) \tag{2.5}$$

in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and pointwise; see [12, 14] and also [8, Lemma 2.8]. Notice also that $N+1>s$ implies that $N \geq \lfloor s-n/p \rfloor$. Then for all $f \in \dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $N \geq \lfloor s-n/p \rfloor$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, by (2.4) and (2.5), we have

$$\widetilde{f} * \phi_{2^{-i}}(x) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \langle f,\, \widetilde{\varphi}_Q \rangle \psi_Q * \phi_{2^{-i}}(x) = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} t_Q \psi_Q * \phi_{2^{-i}}(x),$$

where $t_Q = \langle f,\, \widetilde{\varphi}_Q \rangle$, and by [13, Theorem 2.2] or [14, Theorem (6.16)],

$$\|f\|_{\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|\{t_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}\|_{\dot f^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \left\| \left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} [|Q|^{-s/n-1/2} |t_Q| \chi_Q]^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.6}$$

Moreover, by Lemma 2.3, for all $R \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $\ell(R) = 2^{-i}$ and $x \in R$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\widetilde{f} * \phi_{2^{-i}}(x)| &\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-|i-j|(N+1)} 2^{(i \wedge j)n} 2^{-jn/2} \sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-j}} \frac{|t_Q|}{(1+2^{i \wedge j}|x-x_Q|)^m} \\ &\lesssim \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{\ell(Q)=2^{-j}} 2^{-|i-j|(n/2+N+1)} \frac{|t_Q|}{(1+2^{i \wedge j}|x_R - x_Q|)^m} |R|^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

For $R,\, Q \in \mathcal{Q}$ with $\ell(R) = 2^{-i}$ and $\ell(Q) = 2^{-j}$, setting

$$a_{RQ} = 2^{-|i-j|(n/2+N+1)} (1+2^{i \wedge j}|x_R - x_Q|)^{-m},$$

by (1.4), we have

$$a_{RQ} \leq \left[\frac{\ell(R)}{\ell(Q)}\right]^s \left[1 + \frac{|x_R - x_Q|}{\max\{\ell(R),\, \ell(Q)\}}\right]^{-J-\epsilon} \min\left\{ \left[\frac{\ell(R)}{\ell(Q)}\right]^{\frac{n+\epsilon}{2}},\, \left[\frac{\ell(Q)}{\ell(R)}\right]^{J+\frac{\epsilon-n}{2}} \right\}$$

for certain $\epsilon > 0$. Thus $\{a_{RQ}\}_{R,\,Q \in \mathcal{Q}}$ forms an almost diagonal operator on $\dot f^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which is known to be bounded on $\dot f^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$; see [13, Theorem 3.3] and also [14, Theorem (6.20)]. Therefore, by (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widetilde{f}\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \left\| \left\{ \sum_{R \in \mathcal{Q}} \left[ |R|^{-s/n-1/2} \left( \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} a_{RQ} t_Q \right) \chi_R \right]^q \right\}^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ &\lesssim \|\{t_Q\}_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}}\|_{\dot f^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|f\|_{\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.2. $\square$

To prove Corollary 1.1, we need to establish a lifting property of $\mathcal{A}\dot F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, which heavily depends on the following result.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $1 \le k \le N+1$. Then there exist functions $\{\varphi_\alpha\}_{\alpha\in\mathbb{Z}_+^n,\,|\alpha|=k} \subset \mathcal{S}_{N-k}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\varphi = \sum_{|\alpha|=k} \partial^\alpha \varphi_\alpha$; moreover, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists a positive constant $C$, depending on $N$, $k$, $\ell$ and $m$, but not on $\varphi$ and $\varphi_\alpha$, such that*

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=k} \|\varphi_\alpha\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,\,m-kn}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.7}$$

*Proof.* We begin by proving Lemma 2.4 for $k=1$. We point out that when $N=0$, this proof is essentially given by [30, Lemma 6] and [1, Lemma 3.29] except for checking the estimate (2.7). Now assume $N \ge 0$. We decompose $\varphi$ by using the idea appearing in the proof of [30, Lemma 6] and then verify (2.7).

Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We apply induction on $n$. For $n=1$, set $\psi(x) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^x \varphi(y)\,dy$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\varphi(x) = \frac{d}{dx}\psi(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, for any $0 \le j \le N-1$, by integration by parts, we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \psi(x)x^j\,dx = -\frac{1}{j+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x)x^{j+1}\,dx = 0$, which means $\psi \in \mathcal{S}_{N-1}(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, since $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R})$,

$$|\psi(x)| \le \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,\,m}(\mathbb{R})} \int_{|x|}^\infty \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^m}\,dy \lesssim \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,\,m}(\mathbb{R})}(1+|x|)^{-(m-1)},$$

and for all $1 \le j \le N+\ell+1$,

$$\left|\frac{d^j}{dx^j}\psi(x)\right| = \left|\frac{d^{j-1}}{dx^{j-1}}\varphi(x)\right| \le \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,\,m}(\mathbb{R})}(1+|x|)^{-(m-1)}.$$

Thus Lemma 2.4 holds for $n=1$.

Suppose that Lemma 2.4 holds true for a fixed $n \ge 1$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} = 1$. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we write $x = (x',\,x_{n+1})$ and define $h(x') \equiv \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x',\,u)\,du$, where $x' = (x_1,\,\cdots,\,x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then $h \in \mathcal{S}_N(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, for all $x' \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\alpha' \in \mathbb{Z}_+^n$ with $|\alpha'| \le N+\ell+1$, we have

$$|\partial^{\alpha'} h(x')| \le \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{(|1+|x'|+|u|)^m}\,du \lesssim \frac{1}{(|1+|x'|)^{m-1}},$$

which implies that $\|h\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1$. By induction hypothesis, we write $h(x') = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} h_i(x')$ with $h_i \in \mathcal{S}_{N-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|h_i\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,\,m-n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1$ for $i=1,\,\cdots,\,n$. Let $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ be fixed and $\int_{\mathbb{R}} a(u)\,du = 1$. For all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, set $\varphi_{n+1}(x) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{x_{n+1}} [\varphi(x',\,u) - a(u)h(x')]\,du$ and $\varphi_i(x) \equiv a(x_{n+1})h_i(x')$ with $i=1,\,\cdots,\,n$. Then $\varphi_i \in \mathcal{S}_{N-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})$ for $i=1,\,\cdots,\,n$. For any $\ell \le N-1$ and $|\alpha| \le \ell$ with $\alpha = (\alpha',\,\alpha_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1}$, by integration by parts again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \varphi_{n+1}(x)x^\alpha\,dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\int_{-\infty}^{x_{n+1}} \varphi(x',\,u)(x')^{\alpha'} x_{n+1}^{\alpha_{n+1}}\,du\,dx_{n+1}\,dx' \\ &= -\frac{1}{\alpha_{n+1}+1}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \varphi(x)(x')^{\alpha'} x_{n+1}^{\alpha_{n+1}+1}\,dx = 0.\end{aligned}$$

For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1}$, for $i=1,\,\cdots,\,n$, we have

$$|\partial^\alpha \varphi_i(x)| \lesssim \frac{\|h_i\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,\,m-n-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{(1+|x_{n+1}|)^{m-n-1}(1+|x'|)^{m-n-1}} \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-(m-n-1)},$$

which implies that $\|\varphi_i\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,\,m-n-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \lesssim 1$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_+^{n+1}$ with $|\alpha| \le N+\ell+1$, if $\alpha_{n+1} \neq 0$, then by $\|h\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,\,m-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim 1$, we have that $|\partial^\alpha \varphi_{n+1}(x)| \lesssim (1+|x|)^{-(m-n-1)}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$; if $\alpha_{n+1} = 0$, then by $\int_{\mathbb{R}} [\psi(x',\,u) - a(u)h(x')]\,du = 0$, we have that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\partial^\alpha \varphi_{n+1}(x)| &\lesssim \int_{|x_{n+1}|}^\infty \frac{1}{(1+|x'|+u)^m}\,du + \frac{1}{(1+|x'|)^{m-n}} \int_{|x_{n+1}|}^\infty \frac{1}{(1+|u|)^m}\,du \\ &\lesssim \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,\,m}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})}(1+|x'|)^{-(m-n-1)}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|\varphi_{n+1}\|_{\mathcal{S}_{N+\ell+1,\,m-n-1}(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})} \lesssim 1$, which completes the proof of Lemma 2.4. ☐

**Lemma 2.5.** *For any $N,\ \ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m,\, m' \in (n+N+2,\,\infty)$, $\mathcal{A}^0_{N,\,m'}\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent norms.*

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that if $f \in \mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f \in \mathcal{A}^0_{N,\,m'}\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^0_{N,\,m'}\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $m \ge m'$. To this end, fix $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \psi(x)\,dx = 1$. Obviously, for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ with $|\alpha| = N+1$, if $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$, $|\beta| \le N+1$ and $\beta \neq \alpha$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^\alpha\psi(x)x^\beta\,dx = 0$; if $\alpha = \beta$, then $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \partial^\alpha\psi(x)x^\alpha\,dx = (-1)^{N+1}$. For any $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^0_{N,\,m'}$, let

$$\overline{\phi} = \phi - (-1)^{N+1} \sum_{|\alpha|=N+1} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(x)x^\alpha\,dx\right)\partial^\alpha\psi. \tag{2.8}$$

Then $\overline{\phi} \in \mathcal{S}_{N+1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, for $|\alpha| = N+1$, since $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^0_{N,\,m'}$ with $m' \in (n+N+2,\,\infty)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\phi(x)x^\alpha|\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{|x|^{N+1}}{(1+|x|)^{m'}}\,dx \lesssim 1,$$

which implies that $\overline{\phi}$ is a fixed constant multiple of an element of $\mathcal{A}^0_{N+1,\,m'}$. Notice that $\{\partial^\alpha\psi\}_{|\alpha|=N+1}$ are also fixed constant multiples of elements of $\mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}$. Then, by (2.8), we have

$$\sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}^0_{N,\,m'}} |\phi_{2^{-k}} * f(x)| \lesssim \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}} |\phi_{2^{-k}} * f(x)| + \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}^0_{N+1,\,m'}} |\phi_{2^{-k}} * f(x)|,$$

which implies that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^0_{N,\,m'}\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^0_{N+1,\,m'}\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. By Theorem 1.2 together with $m' \in (n+N+2,\,\infty)$, we have that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^0_{N+1,\,m'}\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|f\|_{\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$, which yields that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^0_{N,\,m'}\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}\dot F^{N+1}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. This finishes the proof of Lemma 2.5. ☐

*Proof of Corollary 1.1.* First, let $f \in \dot M^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then by Theorem 1.1, for any $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m \in ((n+2)N+1,\,\infty)$, and all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ with $|\alpha| = N$, $\partial^\alpha f \in \dot M^{s-N,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{A}^\ell_{0,\,m-nN}\dot F^{s-N}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, for any $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}$, by Lemma 2.4, there exist $\{\phi_\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=N}$

and a positive constant $C$ independent of $\phi$ such that $\{\frac{1}{C}\phi_\alpha\}_{|\alpha|=N} \subset \mathcal{A}^\ell_{0,\,m-nN}$ and $\phi = \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \partial^\alpha \phi_\alpha$. This implies that for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\phi_{2^{-k}} * f(x) = \sum_{|\alpha|=N} (\partial^\alpha \phi_\alpha)_{2^{-k}} * f(x) = 2^{kN}(-1)^N \sum_{|\alpha|=N} (\phi_\alpha)_{2^{-k}} * (\partial^\alpha f)(x),$$

and thus,

$$\sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}} |\phi_{2^{-k}} * f(x)| \lesssim 2^{kN} \sup_{|\alpha|=N} \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}^\ell_{0,\,m-nN}} |\phi_{2^{-k}} * (\partial^\alpha f)(x)|.$$

From this and $\partial^\alpha f \in \mathcal{A}^\ell_{0,\,m-nN}\dot{F}^{s-N}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ with $|\alpha| = N$ together with Theorem 1.1, it follows that $f \in \mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{A}^\ell_{0,\,m-nN}\dot{F}^{s-N}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\dot{M}^{s-N,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

On the other hand, let $f \in \mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $\ell \ge N$ and $m \in (n+N+1,\,\infty)$. Observe that for any $\phi \in \mathcal{A}^\ell_{0,\,m}$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^n_+$ with $|\alpha| = N$, $\partial^\alpha\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\ell-N}_{N,\,m}$. Thus for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}} |\phi_{2^{-k}} * (\partial^\alpha f)| \le \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}^{\ell-N}_{0,\,m}} 2^{kN} |\phi_{2^{-k}} * (f)|,$$

which implies that $\{\partial^\alpha f\}_{|\alpha|=N} \subset \mathcal{A}^{\ell-N}_{0,\,m}\dot{F}^{s-N}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \dot{M}^{s-N,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and thus, $f \in M^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\dot{M}^{s-N,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \sum_{|\alpha|=N} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\ell-N}_{0,\,m}\dot{F}^{s-N}_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Finally, combining the above results with Lemma 2.5 and Theorem 1.2, for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m \in (n+N+2,\,\infty)$ when $s = N+1$ or $m \in (n+N+1,\,\infty)$ when $s \in (N,\,N+1)$, we have that $\dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This finishes the proof of Corollary 1.1. □

## 3 Inhomogeneous versions of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2

We first recall the definitions of inhomogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin spaces; see [34].

**Definition 3.1.** *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in (0,\,\infty)$ and $q \in (0,\,\infty]$. Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfy* (1.3) *and $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{\Phi} \subset B(0,\,2)$ and $|\Phi(\xi)| \ge \text{constant} > 0$ for all $|\xi| \le 5/3$. The inhomogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin space $F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \|\Phi * f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\|\left(\sum_{k=1}^\infty 2^{ksq}|\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f|^q\right)^{1/q}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$$

*with the usual modification made when $q = \infty$.*

Recall that the local Hardy space $h^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of Goldberg is just $F^0_{p,\,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (see [34, Theorem 2.5.8/1]). A variant of inhomogeneous Hajłasz-Sobolev spaces is defined as follows.

**Definition 3.2.** Let $p \in (0,\infty)$ and $s \in (0,1]$. The inhomogeneous fractional Hajłasz-Sobolev space $M^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is the set of all measurable functions $f \in L^p_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that $f \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $f \in h^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover, define $\|f\|_{M^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \|f\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Now we introduce the inhomogeneous grand Triebel-Lizorkin spaces.

**Definition 3.3.** *Let $s \in \mathbb{R}$, $p \in (0,\,\infty)$ and $q \in (0,\,\infty]$. Let $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^\ell_{N,\,m}$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{-1\}$ and $m \in (0,\,\infty)$ be a class of test functions as in* (1.2). *The inhomogeneous grand Triebel-Lizorkin space $\mathcal{A}F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined as the collection of all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ such that*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \equiv \left\| \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\ell+1}_{-1,\,m}} |\phi * f| \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{ksq} \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}} |\phi_{2^{-k}} * f|^q \right)^{1/q} \right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} < \infty$$

*with the usual modification made when $q = \infty$.*

Moreover, similarly to Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 and Corollary 1.1, we have the following results.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let all the assumptions be the same as in Theorem 1.2. Then $\mathcal{A}F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) = F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent norms.*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is similar to that of Theorem 1.2. In fact, since the inhomogeneous Calderón reproducing formula is available (see [13, p. 131]), then by using the argument of Theorem 1.2 and the estimates in Lemma 2.3, we have Theorem 3.1. Here we omit the details.

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let $s \in (0,\,1]$, $p \in (n/(n+s),\,\infty)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m \in (n+1,\,\infty)$. Then $M^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{A}^\ell_{0,\,m}F^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent norms.*

*Proof.* The proof of Theorem 3.2 is similar to that of Theorem 1.1 and much easier. In fact, if $f \in M^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $f \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by Definition 3.1 and thus $f \in \mathcal{A}^\ell_{0,\,m}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Notice that $\|\sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}^\ell_{-1,\,m}} |\phi * f|\| \lesssim \|f\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ (see [15]). Then we know that $f \in \mathcal{A}^\ell_{0,\,m}F^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^\ell_{0,\,m}F^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{M^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

Conversely, assume that $f \in \mathcal{A}^\ell_{0,\,m}F^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Obviously, by $h^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = F^0_{p,\,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we know that $f \in h^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|f\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \sim \|f\|_{F^0_{p,\,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^\ell_{0,\,m}F^1_{p,\,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Fix $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with compact support and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x)\,dx = 1$. For any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, if $|x-y| \le 1$, by an argument similar to that for Theorem 1.1, we then know that

$$|\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(y)| \lesssim |x-y|^s \sup_{k \ge 0} 2^{ks} \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}^\ell_{0,\,m}} (|\phi_{2^{-k}} * f(x)| + |\phi_{2^{-k}} * f(y)|).$$

If $|x-y| > 1$, then, obviously,

$$|\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(x) - \varphi_{2^{-k}} * f(y)| \lesssim |x-y|^s \sup_{\phi \in \mathcal{A}^{\ell+1}_{-1,\,m}} (|\phi_{2^{-k}} * f(x)| + |\phi_{2^{-k}} * f(y)|).$$

So $\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f \in \dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Then similarly to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can prove that $f \in L^p_{\rm loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\|\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. Thus,

$$\|f\|_{M^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

which completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let $s \in (0,\,1]$, $p \in (n/(n+s),\,\infty)$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m \in (n+1,\,\infty)$.*

*(i) If $s = 1$, then $\mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}F^1_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = M^{1,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = F^1_{p,\,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent norms.*

*(ii) If $s \in (0,1)$, then $\mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}F^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) = M^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = F^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent norms.*

Define the inhomogeneous Hajłasz-Sobolev spaces $M^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of higher orders as in Definition 1.3 by replacing $\dot{M}^{s-N,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with $M^{s-N,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Then we have the following inhomogeneous version of Corollary 1.1. We omit the details of its proof.

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $s \in (N,\,N+1]$ and $p \in (n/(n+s-N),\,\infty)$. If $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{N,\,m}$ with $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $m \in (n+N+2,\,\infty)$ when $s = N+1$ or $m \in (n+N+1,\,\infty)$ when $s \in (N,\,N+1)$, then $M^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{A}F^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent norms. Moreover, $M^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = F^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ when $s \in (N,\,N+1)$ and $M^{N+1,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = F^{N+1}_{p,\,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with equivalent norms.*

**Remark 3.1.** *Notice that when $p \in (1,\,\infty)$, $h^p(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and when $p \in (0,\,1]$, $h^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \subsetneq L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Another way to define the inhomogeneous Hajłasz-Sobolev space denoted by $\widetilde{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is to replace $\|f\|_{h^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ by $\|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ in the Definition 3.1. Recall that it was proved in [30] that $\widetilde{M}^{1,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = F^1_{p,\,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p \in (n/(n+1),\,\infty)$. An argument similar to that used in the proof of Theorem 3.2 can show that $\widetilde{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = \mathcal{A}^{\ell}_{0,\,m}F^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $p \in (n/(n+s),\,\infty)$ and $s \in (0,\,1]$. Similar results for Corollary 3.2 also hold true. We omit the details.*

# 4 Proofs of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4

The following spaces of test functions play a key role in the theory of function spaces on RD-spaces; see [21]. In what follows, for any $x,\,y \in \mathcal{X}$ and $r > 0$, set $V(x,y) \equiv \mu(B(x,d(x,y)))$ and $V_r(x) \equiv \mu(B(x,r))$. It is easy to see that $V(x,y) \sim V(y,x)$ for all $x,\,y \in \mathcal{X}$.

**Definition 4.1.** *Let $x_1 \in \mathcal{X}$, $r \in (0,\infty)$, $\beta \in (0,1]$ and $\gamma \in (0,\infty)$. A function $\varphi$ on $\mathcal{X}$ is said to be in the space $\mathcal{G}(x_1,r,\beta,\gamma)$ if there exists a nonnegative constant $C$ such that*

*(i) $|\varphi(x)| \le C\frac{1}{V_r(x_1)+V(x_1,x)}\left(\frac{r}{r+d(x_1,x)}\right)^{\gamma}$ for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$;*

*(ii) $|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| \le C\left(\frac{d(x,y)}{r+d(x_1,x)}\right)^{\beta}\frac{1}{V_r(x_1)+V(x_1,x)}\left(\frac{r}{r+d(x_1,x)}\right)^{\gamma}$ for all $x,\,y \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying that $d(x,y) \le (r+d(x_1,x))/2$.*

*Moreover, for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}(x_1,r,\beta,\gamma)$, its norm is defined by $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{G}(x_1,\,r,\,\beta,\,\gamma)} \equiv \inf\{C :$ (i) and (ii) hold$\}$.*

Throughout the whole paper, we fix $x_1 \in \mathcal{X}$ and let $\mathcal{G}(\beta,\gamma) \equiv \mathcal{G}(x_1, 1, \beta, \gamma)$. Then $\mathcal{G}(\beta,\gamma)$ is a Banach space. We also let $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}(\beta,\gamma) = \left\{f \in \mathcal{G}(\beta,\gamma) : \int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x)\,d\mu(x) = 0\right\}$. Denote by $(\mathcal{G}(\beta,\gamma))'$ and $(\mathring{\mathcal{G}}(\beta,\gamma))'$ the dual spaces of $\mathcal{G}(\beta,\gamma)$ and $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}(\beta,\gamma)$, respectively. Obviously, $(\mathring{\mathcal{G}}(\beta,\gamma))' = (\mathcal{G}(\beta,\gamma))'/\mathbb{C}$.

For any given $\epsilon \in (0,1]$, let $\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\gamma)$ be the completion of the set $\mathcal{G}(\epsilon,\epsilon)$ in the space $\mathcal{G}(\beta,\gamma)$ when $\beta, \gamma \in (0,\epsilon]$. Obviously, $\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\epsilon,\epsilon) = \mathcal{G}(\epsilon,\epsilon)$. If $\varphi \in \mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\gamma)$, define $\|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\gamma)} \equiv \|\varphi\|_{\mathcal{G}(\beta,\gamma)}$. Obviously, $\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\gamma)$ is a Banach space. The space $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\gamma)$ is defined to be the completion of the space $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}(\epsilon,\epsilon)$ in $\mathring{\mathcal{G}}(\beta,\gamma)$ when $\beta,\ \gamma \in (0,\ \epsilon]$. Let $(\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\gamma))'$ and $(\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\gamma))'$ be the dual space of $\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\gamma)$ and $\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\gamma)$, respectively. Also we have that $(\mathring{\mathcal{G}}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\ \gamma))' = (\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\ \gamma))'/\mathbb{C}$.

**Remark 4.1.** *Because $(\mathring{\mathcal{G}}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\ \gamma))' = (\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\ \gamma))'/\mathbb{C}$, if we replace $(\mathring{\mathcal{G}}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\ \gamma))'$ with $(\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\ \gamma))'/\mathbb{C}$ or $(\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\ \gamma))'$ in Definition 1.6, then we obtain a new Triebel-Lizorkin space which, modulo constants, is equivalent to the original Triebel-Lizorkin space. So we can replace $(\mathring{\mathcal{G}}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\ \gamma))'$ with $(\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\ \gamma))'/\mathbb{C}$ or $(\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\ \gamma))'$ in the Definition 1.6 if need be, in what follows.*

Now we recall the notion of approximations of the identity on RD-spaces, which were first introduced in [21].

**Definition 4.2.** *Let $\epsilon_1 \in (0,1]$. A sequence $\{S_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of bounded linear integral operators on $L^2(\mathcal{X})$ is called an approximation of the identity of order $\epsilon_1$ (for short, $\epsilon_1$-* AOTI *) with bounded support, if there exist constants $C_3$, $C_4 > 0$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and all $x$, $x'$, $y$ and $y' \in \mathcal{X}$, $S_k(x,y)$, the integral kernel of $S_k$ is a measurable function from $\mathcal{X}\times\mathcal{X}$ into $\mathbb{C}$ satisfying*

*(i)* $S_k(x,y) = 0$ *if* $d(x,y) > C_4 2^{-k}$ *and* $|S_k(x,y)| \le C_3 \frac{1}{V_{2^{-k}}(x)+V_{2^{-k}}(y)}$;

*(ii)* $|S_k(x,y) - S_k(x',y)| \le C_3 2^{k\epsilon_1}[d(x,x')]^{\epsilon_1} \frac{1}{V_{2^{-k}}(x)+V_{2^{-k}}(y)}$ *for* $d(x,x') \le \max\{C_4, 1\}2^{1-k}$;

*(iii) Property (ii) holds with $x$ and $y$ interchanged;*

*(iv)* $|[S_k(x,y) - S_k(x,y')] - [S_k(x',y) - S_k(x',y')]| \le C_3 2^{2k\epsilon_1} \frac{[d(x,x')]^{\epsilon_1}[d(y,y')]^{\epsilon_1}}{V_{2^{-k}}(x)+V_{2^{-k}}(y)}$ *for* $d(x,x') \le \max\{C_4, 1\}2^{1-k}$ *and* $d(y,y') \le \max\{C_4, 1\}2^{1-k}$;

*(v)* $\int_{\mathcal{X}} S_k(x,y)\,d\mu(y) = 1 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} S_k(x,y)\,d\mu(x)$.

It was proved in [21, Theorem 2.6] that there always exists a 1- AOTI with bounded support on an RD-space.

To prove Theorem 1.3, we need a Sobolev embedding theorem, which for $s = 1$ is due to Hajłasz [19, Theorem 8.7], and for $s \in (0,1)$ can be proved by a slight modification of the proof of [19, Theorem 8.7]. We omit the details.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let $s \in (0,1]$, $p \in (0, n/s)$ and $p^* = np/(n-sp)$. Then there exists a positive constant $C$ such that for all $u \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathcal{X})$, $g \in D(u)$ and all balls $B_0$ with radius $r_0$, $u \in L^{p^*}(B_0)$ and*

$$\inf_{c\in\mathbb{R}} \left( \fint_{B_0} |u-c|^{p^*}\,d\mu \right)^{1/p^*} \le C r_0^s \left( \fint_{2B_0} g^p\,d\mu \right)^{1/p}.$$

By Lemma 4.1, we have the following version of Lemma 2.2.

**Lemma 4.2.** *Let $s \in (0, 1]$, $p \in [n/(n+s), n/s)$ and $p_* = np/(n-sp)$. Then for each $u \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathcal{X})$, there exists constant $C$ such that $u - C \in L^{p_*}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\|u - C\|_{L^{p_*}(\mathcal{X})} \le \widetilde{C}\|u\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathcal{X})}$, where $\widetilde{C}$ is a positive constant independent of $u$ and $C$.*

With the aid of Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2, we can prove Theorem 1.3 by following the ideas used in the proof of Theorem 1.1. For reader's convenience, we sketch the argument.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* We first prove that if $f \in \mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathcal{X})$, then $f \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathcal{X})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathcal{X})}$. Let $\{S_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a 1-AOTI with bounded support. If $f$ is a locally integrable function, using $S_k(f)$ to replace $\varphi_{2^{-k}} * f$ and following the procedure as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we know that $f \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathcal{X})$ and

$$g(\cdot) = \sup_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}_k(\cdot)} 2^{ks}|\langle f,\, \phi\rangle| \in L^p(\mathcal{X})$$

and $\|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathcal{X})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathcal{X})}$. If $f \in \mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathcal{X})$ is only known to be an element in $(\mathcal{G}(1,\, 2))'$, we may also identify $f$ with a locally integrable function $\widetilde{f}$ in $(\mathcal{G}(1,\, 2))'$ and $\|\widetilde{f}\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathcal{X})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathcal{X})}$ by using Lemma 4.2 and an argument used in that of Theorem 1.1. In this sense, we have that $f \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathcal{X})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathcal{X})}$.

Conversely, let $f \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathcal{X})$. Choose $g \in \mathcal{D}(f)$ such that $\|g\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X})} \le 2\|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathcal{X})}$. Then for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_k(x)$, similarly to the proof of (2.3) and using Lemma 4.1, we have that

$$|\langle f,\, \phi\rangle| \lesssim 2^{-ks}\left[M\left(g^{n/(n+s)}\right)(x)\right]^{(n+s)/n},$$

which together with the $L^{p(n+s)/n}(\mathcal{X})$-boundedness of $M$ implies that $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})} \lesssim \|g\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X})}$ for all $p \in (n/(n+s),\, \infty)$. Moreover, without loss of generality, we may assume that $M\left(g^{n/(n+s)}\right)(x_1) < \infty$. Then for all $\psi \in \mathcal{G}(1,\, 2)$, letting $\sigma := \int_{\mathcal{X}} \psi(y)\, d\mu(y)$, by Lemma 4.2 and an argument similar to the proof of (2.3), we have that $f \in L^1_{\rm loc}(\mathcal{X})$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x)\psi(x)\, d\mu(x)\right| &= \left|\int_{\mathcal{X}} f(x)[\psi(x) - \sigma S_0(x_1,\, x)]\, d\mu(x)\right| + |\sigma||S_0(f)(x_1)|\\ &\lesssim \|\psi\|_{L^1(\mathcal{X})} \fint_{B(0,\, 2C_4)} |f(z)|\, d\mu(z) + \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{G}(1,\,2)}[M(g^{n/(n+s)})]^{1+s/n}(x_1)\\ &\lesssim C(f)\|\psi\|_{\mathcal{G}(1,\,2)},\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $f \in (\mathcal{G}(1,\, 2))'$. Thus $f \in \mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathcal{X})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,\,p}(\mathcal{X})}$, which completes the proof of Theorem 1.3. ☐

**Remark 4.2.** *By the above proof, if we replace the space $\mathcal{G}(1,\, 2)$ of test functions by $\mathcal{G}(\beta,\, \gamma)$ with $\beta \in [s,\, 1]$ and $\gamma \in (s,\, \infty)$ in Definition 1.5, then Theorem 1.3 still holds true. Thus the definition of the space $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\infty}(\mathcal{X})$ is independent of the choice of the space of test functions $\mathcal{G}(\beta,\, \gamma)$ with $\beta \in [s,\, 1]$ and $\gamma \in (s,\, \infty)$.*

To prove Theorem 1.4, we need the following homogeneous Calderón reproducing formula established in [21]. We first recall the following construction given by Christ in [10], which provides an analogue of the set of Euclidean dyadic cubes on spaces of homogeneous type.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a space of homogeneous type. Then there exists a collection $\{Q_\alpha^k \subset \mathcal{X}:\ k \in \mathbb{Z},\ \alpha \in I_k\}$ of open subsets, where $I_k$ is some index set, and constants $\delta \in (0,1)$ and $C_5,\ C_6 > 0$ such that*

- *(i)* $\mu(\mathcal{X} \setminus \cup_\alpha Q_\alpha^k) = 0$ *for each fixed $k$ and $Q_\alpha^k \cap Q_\beta^k = \emptyset$ if $\alpha \neq \beta$;*
- *(ii) for any $\alpha,\ \beta,\ k,\ \ell$ with $\ell \geq k$, either $Q_\beta^\ell \subset Q_\alpha^k$ or $Q_\beta^\ell \cap Q_\alpha^k = \emptyset$;*
- *(iii) for each $(k,\alpha)$ and each $\ell < k$, there exists a unique $\beta$ such that $Q_\alpha^k \subset Q_\beta^\ell$;*
- *(iv)* $\operatorname{diam}(Q_\alpha^k) \leq C_5\delta^k$*;*
- *(v) each $Q_\alpha^k$ contains some ball $B(z_\alpha^k, C_6\delta^k)$, where $z_\alpha^k \in \mathcal{X}$.*

In fact, we can think of $Q_\alpha^k$ as being a *dyadic cube* with diameter roughly $\delta^k$ and centered at $z_\alpha^k$. In what follows, to simplify our presentation, we always suppose that $\delta = 1/2$; otherwise, we need to replace $2^{-k}$ in the definition of approximations to the identity by $\delta^k$ and some other changes are also necessary; see [21] for more details.

In the following, for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\tau \in I_k$, we denote by $Q_\tau^{k,\nu}$, $\nu = 1,\ 2,\ \cdots, N(k,\tau)$, the set of all cubes $Q_{\tau'}^{k+j} \subset Q_\tau^k$, where $Q_\tau^k$ is the dyadic cube as in Lemma 4.3 and $j$ is a fixed positive large integer such that $2^{-j}C_5 < 1/3$. Denote by $z_\tau^{k,\nu}$ the "center" of $Q_\tau^{k,\nu}$ as in Lemma 4.3 and by $y_\tau^{k,\nu}$ a point in $Q_\tau^{k,\nu}$.

**Lemma 4.4.** *Let $\epsilon \in (0,1)$ and $\{S_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ be a 1-*AOTI *with bounded support. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, set $D_k := S_k - S_{k-1}$. Then, for any fixed $j \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough, there exists a family $\{\widetilde{D}_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of linear operators such that for any fixed $y_\tau^{k,\nu} \in Q_\tau^{k,\nu}$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\tau \in I_k$ and $\nu = 1, \cdots, N(k,\tau)$, $x \in \mathcal{X}$, and all $f \in (\mathring{\mathcal{G}}_0^\epsilon(\beta,\gamma))'$ with $\beta,\ \gamma \in (0,\ \epsilon)$,*

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\tau \in I_k} \sum_{\nu=1}^{N(k,\tau)} \mu(Q_\tau^{k,\nu}) \widetilde{D}_k(x, y_\tau^{k,\nu}) D_k(f)(y_\tau^{k,\nu}),$$

*where the series converge in $(\mathring{\mathcal{G}}_0^\epsilon(\beta,\gamma))'$. Moreover, for any $\epsilon' \in (\epsilon,1)$, there exists a positive constant $C$, depending on $\epsilon'$, such that the kernels, denoted by $\widetilde{D}_k(x,y)$, of the operators $\widetilde{D}_k$ satisfy*

- *(i) for all $x,\ y \in \mathcal{X}$, $|\widetilde{D}_k(x,y)| \leq C\frac{1}{V_{2^{-k}}(x)+V(x,y)}\left[\frac{2^{-k}}{2^{-k}+d(x,y)}\right]^{\epsilon'}$,*
- *(ii) for all $x,\ x',\ y \in \mathcal{X}$ with $d(x,x') \leq (2^{-k} + d(x,y))/2$,*

$$|\widetilde{D}_k(x,y) - \widetilde{D}_k(x',y)| \leq C \left[\frac{d(x,x')}{2^{-k} + d(x,y)}\right]^{\epsilon'} \frac{1}{V_{2^{-k}}(x) + V(x,y)} \left[\frac{2^{-k}}{2^{-k} + d(x,y)}\right]^{\epsilon'},$$

- *(iii) for all $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\int_{\mathcal{X}} \widetilde{D}_k(x,y)\,d\mu(y) = 0 = \int_{\mathcal{X}} \widetilde{D}_k(x,y)\,d\mu(x)$.*

*Proof of Theorem 1.4.* If $f \in \mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$, by Remark 4.2 and the fact that $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X}) \subset \mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,\infty}(\mathcal{X})$, we know that $f \in (\mathcal{G}(\beta,\,\gamma))'$ with $\beta \in (s,\,1)$ and $\gamma \in (s,\,\infty)$ and thus, $f \in \dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$ and $\|f\|_{\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})}$. Conversely, assume that $f \in \dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$. By Lemma 4.4, for all $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{A}_\ell(x)$, we have

$$\langle f,\,\phi\rangle = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{\tau\in I_k} \sum_{\nu=1}^{N(k,\tau)} \mu(Q_\tau^{k,\nu}) D_k(f)(y_\tau^{k,\nu}) \int_{\mathcal{X}} \widetilde{D}_k(z, y_\tau^{k,\nu})\phi(z)\,d\mu(z),$$

where we fix $y_\tau^{k,\nu} \in Q_\tau^{k,\,\nu}$ such that

$$|D_k(f)(y_\tau^{k,\nu})| \le 2 \inf_{z\in Q_\tau^{k,\,\nu}} |D_k(f)(z)|. \tag{4.1}$$

Recall that $\widetilde{D}_k$ depends on the choice of $y_\tau^{k,\nu}$ and thus on $f$, but they do have uniform estimates as in Lemma 4.4, which is enough for us. In fact, by these estimates and $\phi \in \mathring{\mathcal{G}}_0^\epsilon(\beta,\,\gamma)$, we further know that for any fixed $\beta' \in (s,\,\beta)$ and $\gamma' \in (s,\,\gamma)$ satisfying (1.6),

$$\left|\int_{\mathcal{X}} \widetilde{D}_k(z, y_\tau^{k,\nu})\phi(z)\,d\mu(z)\right| \lesssim 2^{-|k-\ell|\beta'} \frac{1}{V_{2^{-(k\wedge\ell)}}(x) + V(x,\,y_\tau^{k,\nu})} \left[\frac{2^{-(k\wedge\ell)}}{2^{-(k\wedge\ell)} + d(x,\,y_\tau^{k,\nu})}\right]^{\gamma'};$$

see [21] for a detailed proof. Thus, choosing an $r \in (n/(n+[\beta'\wedge\gamma']),\, \min\{p,\,q\})$, by (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\langle f,\,\phi\rangle| &\lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-|k-\ell|\beta'} \sum_{\tau\in I_k} \sum_{\nu=1}^{N(k,\tau)} \frac{\mu(Q_\tau^{k,\nu})|D_k(f)(y_\tau^{k,\nu})|}{V_{2^{-(k\wedge\ell)}}(x) + V(x,\,y_\tau^{k,\nu})} \left[\frac{2^{-(k\wedge\ell)}}{2^{-(k\wedge\ell)} + d(x,\,y_\tau^{k,\nu})}\right]^{\gamma'}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-|k-\ell|\beta'} 2^{[(k\wedge\ell)-k]n(1-1/r)} \left\{M\left(\sum_{\tau\in I_k}\sum_{\nu=1}^{N(k,\tau)} |D_k(f)(y_\tau^{k,\nu})|^r \chi_{Q_\tau^{k,\,\nu}}\right)(x)\right\}^{1/r}\\
&\lesssim \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{-|k-\ell|\beta'} 2^{[(k\wedge\ell)-k]n(1-1/r)} \left[M\left(|D_k(f)|^r\right)(x)\right]^{1/r}.
\end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})} \lesssim \Bigg\|\Bigg\{\sum_{\ell=-\infty}^{\infty} 2^{(\ell-k)sq} \Bigg(\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} &2^{-|k-\ell|\beta'} 2^{[(k\wedge\ell)-k]n(1-1/r)}\\
&\times \left[M\left(2^{ksr}|D_k(f)|^r\right)\right]^{1/r}\Bigg)^q\Bigg\}^{1/q}\Bigg\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X})}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the Hölder inequality when $q > 1$ and the inequality that $(\sum_k |a_k|)^q \le \sum_k |a_k|^q$ when $q \in (0,\,1]$ for all $\{a_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \subset \mathbb{C}$, and using the vector-valued inequality of the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator (see [17]), we then have

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})} \lesssim \left\|\left\{\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty}\left[M\left(2^{ksr}|D_k(f)|^r\right)\right]^{q/r}\right\}^{1/q}\right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X})} \lesssim \|f\|_{\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})}.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1.4. □

## 5 Inhomogeneous versions of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4

We consider both cases $\mu(\mathcal{X}) < \infty$ and $\mu(\mathcal{X}) = \infty$ at the same time. We next recall the notions of inhomogeneous Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces from [21].

We call $\{S_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ to be an inhomogeneous approximation of the identity of order $\epsilon$ with bounded support if their kernels satisfy (i) through (v) of Definition 4.1.

**Definition 5.1.** *Let $\epsilon$, $s$, $p$, $q$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ be as in Definition 1.6. Let $\{S_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be an inhomogeneous approximation of the identity of order $\epsilon$ with bounded support. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, set $D_k \equiv S_k - S_{k-1}$. Let $\{Q_\tau^{0,\nu} : \ \tau \in I_0, \ \nu = 1, \cdots, N(0,\tau)\}$ with a fixed large $j \in \mathbb{N}$ be dyadic cubes as in Section 4. Let $s \in (0, \epsilon)$. The inhomogeneous Triebel-Lizorkin space $F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$ is defined to be the set of all $f \in (\mathcal{G}^\epsilon_0(\beta,\gamma))'$ that satisfy*

$$\|f\|_{F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})} \equiv \left\{\sum_{\tau\in I_0}\sum_{\nu=1}^{N(0,\tau)} \mu(Q_\tau^{0,\nu})\left[m_{Q_\tau^{0,\nu}}(|S_0(f)|)\right]^p\right\}^{1/p} + \left\|\left\{\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2^{ksq}|D_k(f)|^q\right\}^{1/q}\right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X})} < \infty$$

*with the usual modification made when $q = \infty$.*

As shown in [40], the definition of $F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$ is independent of the choices of $\epsilon$, $\beta$, $\gamma$ and the inhomogeneous approximation of the identity.

**Definition 5.2.** *Let $s \in (0, 1]$, $p \in (0, \infty)$ and $q \in (0, \infty]$. Let $\mathcal{A} :\equiv \{\mathcal{A}_k(x)\}_{k\in\mathbb{Z}_+,\, x\in\mathcal{X}}$ with $\mathcal{A}_0(x) = \{\phi \in \mathcal{G}(1,\, 2),\ \|\phi\|_{\mathcal{G}(x,\,1,\,1,\,2)} \le 1\}$ and for $k \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\mathcal{A}_k(x) := \{\phi \in \mathcal{G}(1,\, 2),\ \|\phi\|_{\mathring{\mathcal{G}}(x,\,2^{-k},\,1,\,2)} \le 1\}.$$

*The inhomogeneous grand Triebel-Lizorkin space $\mathcal{A}\dot{F}^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$ is defined to be the set of all $f \in (\mathcal{G}(1,\, 2))'$ that satisfy*

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{A}F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})} \equiv \left\|\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{ksq} \sup_{\phi\in\mathcal{A}_k(\cdot)} |\langle f,\, \phi\rangle|^q\right\}^{1/q}\right\|_{L^p(\mathcal{X})} < \infty$$

*with the usual modification made when $q = \infty$.*

Then we have the following result.

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let all the assumptions be as in Definition 5.1. Then $F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{A}F^s_{p,\,q}(\mathcal{X})$ with equivalent norms.*

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is similar to that of Theorem 1.4. We point out that instead of the homogeneous Calderón reproducing formula, we need the inhomogeneous one established in [21]. We omit the details.

Moreover, we define the inhomogeneous Hajłasz-Sobolev spaces as follows. We also have an inhomogeneous version of Theorem 1.3, which can be proved by using the ideas appearing in the proofs of Theorem 3.2 and Theorem 1.3.

**Definition 5.3.** *Let* $p \in (0, \infty)$ *and* $s \in (0, 1]$. *The inhomogeneous fractional Hajłasz-Sobolev space* $M^{s,p}(\mathcal{X})$ *is defined to be the set of all measurable functions* $f \in L^p_{\rm loc}(\mathcal{X})$ *that satisfy both* $f \in h^p(\mathcal{X}) = F^0_{p,2}(\mathcal{X})$ *and* $f \in \dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathcal{X})$*; moreover, define* $\|f\|_{M^{s,p}(\mathcal{X})} \equiv \|f\|_{h^p(\mathcal{X})} + \inf_{g \in \mathcal{D}(f)} \|f\|_{\dot{M}^{s,p}(\mathcal{X})}$,

**Theorem 5.2.** *Let* $s \in (0, 1]$ *and* $p \in (n/(n + s), \infty)$. *Then* $M^{s,p}(\mathcal{X}) = \mathcal{A}F^s_{p,\infty}(\mathcal{X})$ *with equivalent norms.*

## References

[1] R. A. Adams and J. F. J. Fournier, Sobolev Spaces, Second edition, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 140, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2003.

[2] G. Alexopoulos, Spectral multipliers on Lie groups of polynomial growth, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1994), 973-979.

[3] P. Auscher, E. Russ and P. Tchamitchian, Hardy Sobolev spaces on strongly Lipschitz domains of $\mathbb{R}^n$, J. Funct. Anal. 218 (2005) 54-109.

[4] P. Auscher, T. Coulhon, X. T. Duong and S. Hofmann, Riesz transform on manifolds and heat kernel regularity, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 37 (2004), 911-957.

[5] P. Auscher, A. McIntosh and E. Russ, Hardy spaces of differential forms on Riemannian manifolds, J. Geom. Anal. 18 (2008), 192-248.

[6] N. Badr and G. Dafni, An atomic decomposition of the Hajłasz Sobolev space $M^{1,1}$ on manifolds, arXiv: 0910.2895

[7] M. Bownik, Anisotropic Hardy spaces and wavelets, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 164 (2003), 1-122.

[8] M. Bownik and K-P. Ho, Atomic and molecular decompositions of anisotropic Triebel-Lizorkin spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 358 (2006), 1469-1510.

[9] J. Cheeger, Differentiability of Lipschitz functions on metric measure spaces, Geom. Funct. Anal. 9 (1999), 428-517.

[10] M. Christ, A $T(b)$ theorem with remarks on analytic capacity and the Cauchy integral, Colloq. Math. LX/LXI (1990), 601-628.

[11] Y.-K. Cho, Inequalities related to $H^p$ smoothness of Sobolev type, Integral Equations Operator Theory 35 (1999), 471-484.

[12] M. Frazier and B. Jawerth, Decomposition of Besov spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 34 (1985), 777-799.

[13] M. Frazier and B. Jawerth, A discrete transform and decompositions of distribution spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 93 (1990), 34-170.

[14] M. Frazier, B. Jawerth and G. Weiss, Littlewood-Paley Theory and the Study of Function Spaces, CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics, 79. Published for the Conference Board of the Mathematical Sciences, Washington, DC; by the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1991.

[15] D. Goldberg, A local version of real Hardy spaces, Duke Math. J. 46 (1979), 27-42.

[16] L. Grafakos, Modern Fourier Analysis, Second Edition, Graduate Texts in Math., No. 250, Springer, New York, 2008.

[17] L. Grafakos, L. Liu and D. Yang, Vector-valued singular integrals and maximal functions on spaces of homogeneous type, Math. Scand. 104 (2009), 296-310.

[18] P. Hajłasz, Sobolev spaces on an arbitrary metric spaces, Potential Anal. 5 (1996), 403-415.

[19] P. Hajłasz, Sobolev spaces on metric-measure spaces, Heat kernels and analysis on manifolds, graphs, and metric spaces (Paris, 2002), 173-218, Contemp. Math., 338, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.

[20] P. Hajłasz and P. Koskela, Sobolev met Poincaré, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 145 (688) (2000), 1-101.

[21] Y. Han, D. Müller and D. Yang, A theory of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on metric measure spaces modeled on Carnot-Carathéodory spaces, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2008, Art. ID 893409, 250 pp.

[22] J. Heinonen, Lectures on Analysis on Metric Spaces, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.

[23] J. Heinonen and P. Koskela, Quasiconformal maps in metric spaces with controlled geometry, Acta Math. 181 (1998), 1-61.

[24] J. Heinonen, Nonsmooth calculus, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N. S.) 44 (2007), 163-232.

[25] S. Keith and X. Zhong, The Poincaré inequality is an open ended condition, Ann. of Math. (2) 167 (2008), 575–599.

[26] A. Nagel and E. M. Stein, Differentiable control metrics and scaled bump functions, J. Differential Geom. 57 (2001), 465-492.

[27] A. Nagel and E. M. Stein, On the product theory of singular integrals, Rev. Mat. Ibero. 20 (2004), 531-561.

[28] A. Nagel and E. M. Stein, The $\overline{\partial}_b$-complex on decoupled boundaries in $\mathbb{C}^n$, Ann. of Math. (2) 164 (2006), 649-713.

[29] A. Nagel, E. M. Stein and S. Wainger, Balls and metrics defined by vector fields I. Basic properties, Acta Math. 155 (1985), 103-147.

[30] P. Koskela and E. Saksman, Pointwise characterizations of Hardy-Sobolev functions, Math. Res. Lett. 15 (2008), 727-744.

[31] J. Peetre, New Thoughts on Besov Spaces, Duke University Mathematics Series, No. 1. Mathematics Department, Duke University, Durham, N. C., 1976.

[32] N. Shanmugalingam, Newtonian spaces: an extension of Sobolev spaces to metric measure spaces, Rev. Mat. Ibero. 16 (2000), 243-279.

[33] E. M. Stein, Harmonic Analysis: Real-Variable Methods, Orthogonality, and Oscillatory Integrals, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1993.

[34] H. Triebel, Theory of Function Spaces, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1983.

[35] H. Triebel, Theory of Function Spaces III, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2006.

[36] N. Th. Varopoulos, Analysis on Lie groups, J. Funct. Anal. 76 (1988), 346-410.

[37] N. Th. Varopoulos, L. Saloff-Coste and T. Coulhon, Analysis and Geometry on Groups, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics 100, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.

[38] M. Wilson, The intrinsic square function, Rev. Mat. Ibero. 23 (2007), 771-791.

[39] D. Yang, New characterizations of Hajłasz-Sobolev spaces on metric spaces, Sci. China Ser. A 46 (2003), 675-689.

[40] D. Yang and Y. Zhou, New properties of Besov and Triebel-Lizorkin spaces on RD-spaces, arXiv: 0903.4583.

PEKKA KOSKELA: University of Jyväskylä, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Box 35 (MaD), Fin-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland

*E-mail:* `pkoskela@maths.jyu.fi`

DACHUN YANG: School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China

*E-mail:* `dcyang@bnu.edu.cn`

YUAN ZHOU: School of Mathematical Sciences, Beijing Normal University, Laboratory of Mathematics and Complex Systems, Ministry of Education, Beijing 100875, People's Republic of China
and
University of Jyväskylä, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, P.O. Box 35 (MaD), Fin-40014 University of Jyväskylä, Finland

*E-mail:* `yuanzhou@mail.bnu.edu.cn`